REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*	2. REPORT TYPE Technical Papers	3. DATES COVERED *(From - To)*
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Please see attached		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
		5b. GRANT NUMBER
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER 1011
		5e. TASK NUMBER 0046
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 346204
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S) Please see attached

12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

20030116 034

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Leilani Richardson
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified	A		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER *(include area code)* (661) 275-5015

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18

46 TP-1998-Ø95

P98-91

MEMORANDUM FOR IN-HOUSE PUBLICATIONS

FROM: PROI (TI) (STINFO) 30 Apr 98

SUBJECT: Authorization for Release of Technical Information, Control Number: AFRL-PR-ED-**TP**-1998-**095**
J. Harper, J. Sheehy, J. Mills and Bill Larson "Quantitative Analysis of the Condensation of B_JC_{n-J} Clusters (n = 2-12, J = 0,1,2) in Solid Argon" **HEDM Conference Presentation** **(Statement A)**

Quantitative Analysis of the Condensation of B_JC_{n-J} Clusters ($n = 2$-12, $J = 0,1,2$) in Solid Argon

J. Harper, J. A. Sheehy, J. D. Mills and C.W. Larson

Air Force Research Laboratory
Propulsion Directorate
Edwards AFB, CA 93524-7680

AFOSR HEDM Contractors' Conference
Monterey, California
20-22 May 1998



IspCar18.axg

Objective - 5% atoms in cryogenic matrix

Approach

1. FTIR spectroscopy of B_JC_{n-J} clusters isolated in 10 K argon matrix

2. Ab-initio calculations of cluster
   (a) normal mode frequencies and frequency shifts of their isotopomers
   (b) infrared absorption intensities (km mol^{-1})

3. Measurement of cluster distributions produced upon deposition and after annealing. Absolute column densities (molecules cm^{-2}) from Beer's Law

$$<\rho_i l> = \frac{A_{exp}}{I_{theory}} N$$

$$A_{exp} = -\int_{\nu} \ln\left[\frac{E_t(\nu)}{E_0(\nu)}\right] d\nu$$

Summary of C_n and B_JC_{n-J} clusters identified or analyzed

		J			
cyclic-C_n	n	linear-C_n J = 0	J = 1	J = 2	J = 3
	2	C_2	BC	B_2	
	3	C_3	BC_2	B_2C	B_3
cC_4	4	C_4	BC_3	B_2C_2	B_3C
	5	C_5	BC_4	B_2C_3	B_3C_2
cC_6	6	C_6	BC_5	B_2C_4	B_3C_3
	7	C_7	BC_6	B_2C_5	B_3C_4
cC_8	8	C_8	BC_7	B_2C_6	B_3C_5
	9	C_9	BC_8	B_2C_7	B_3C_6
cC_{10}	10	C_{10}	BC_9	B_2C_8	B_3C_7
	11	C_{11}	BC_{10}	B_2C_9	B_3C_8
cC_{12}	12	C_{12}	BC_{11}	B_2C_{10}	B_3C_9
	13	C_{13}	BC_{12}	B_2C_{11}	B_3C_{10}

Preparation



Annealing

a0 10 K	a3 32.5 K, 60 s	a6 40.0 K, 20 s
a1 27.5 K, 120 s	a4 35.0 K, 45 s	sublimation
a2 30.0 K, 90 s	a5 37.5 K, 20 s	rate ~ 1 μm/s

Precision matched pair of matrices

Green Matrix	$^{11}B/^{10}B = 80/20$	enhanced $^{11}B_JC_{n-J}$
Red Matrix	$^{11}B/^{10}B = 27/73$	enhanced $^{10}B_JC_{n-J}$


C4
BC3
BC2
B2C2
32.5K/45sec
30.0K/1.3min
27.5K/2.5min
11B/10B = 3/7
32.5K/45sec
30.0K/1min
27.5K/2min
11B/10B = 8/2
Absorbance
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
1000
900
Wavenumbers


Absorbance
0.43
0.42
0.41
0.40
0.39
0.38
C4
BC3
BC2
B2C2
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
1000
900
Wavenumbers


10BC2
11BC2
0.27
0.26
0.25
0.24
0.23
0.22
0.21
Absorbance
1240
1230
1220
1210
1200
1190
Wavenumbers


Absorbance
0.40
0.35
0.30
0.25
C3
C5
B/C
sC7
B/C
Db
C9
Db
C6
B/C
wC7
Db
2150
2100
2050
2000
1950
1900
1850
Wavenumbers



A b s o r b a n c e
0.40
0.35
0.30
0.25
Wavenumbers
2150
2100
2050
2000
1950
1900
1850
C5
B/C
sC7
B/C
C3
Db
C9
Db
C6
B/C
wC7
Db



BC
BC_2
C_3
B_2C
BC_3
C_4
B_2C_2
BC_4
C_5
B_2C_3
BC_5
C_6
B_2C_4
BC_6
C_7
B_2C_5
BC_7
C_8
B_2C_6
BC_8
C_9
B_2C_7
BC_9
C_{10}
B_2C_8
BC_{10}
C_{11}
B_2C_9
A_{exp}= 2.3 cm^{-1}
maximum
A_{exp}= 0.0023 cm^{-1}
minimum
Column density (molecules cm^{-2})
10^{18}
10^{17}
10^{16}
10^{15}
10^{14}
10^{13}
10^{12}
10^{11}
1 2
1 0 2 3
1 0 2 4
1 0 2 5
1 0 2 6
1 0 2 7
1 0 2 8
1 0 2 9
1 0 2 10
1 0 2 11
← J
← n
Cluster B_JC_{n-J}
GREEN
B32mol4.axg Mar. 6, 1998 10:08:59 AM



Statistical Condensation
$$\frac{Y(B_J C_{n-1})}{Y(C_n)} = \frac{n(n-1)\ldots(n-J+1)}{J!}\left(\frac{[B]}{[C]}\right)^J$$
B/C = 1/3
$A_{exp} = 2.3\ cm^{-1}$ maximum
$A_{exp} = 0.0023\ cm^{-1}$ minimum
Column density (molecules cm^{-2})
Cluster $B_J C_{n-J}$
← J
← n
GREEN
B32

Conclusions

1. C_3 is linear but BC_2, B_2C and B_3 are cyclic.

2. $n > 3$; $J = 0, 1, 2$ clusters are linear. Boron atoms cap the ends of linear chains.

3. $J = 0, 1, 2$ substitution in $n \geq 5$ clusters does not significantly affect IR intensities.

4. For $n \geq 5$ the absorption intensity of even n clusters is two to three times smaller than that of odd n clusters.

5. B_2C_2 grew most dramatically upon annealing. BC was not detected. Its upper limit column density is comparable to that of $n = 4$ clusters. B_2C_2 sources may be 2BC or B + BC_2 but C + B_2C does not form B_2C_2.

6. $n = 3, 4$; $J = 0, 1$ clusters disappear upon annealing but $J = 2$ clusters either grow or remain unchanged. Capping the ends of clusters with boron seems to render them inert to further condensation.

7. Statistical cluster distributions are apparent in $n = 4$ and 5 clusters. B_2C yields are too high and B_2C_{n-2} yields are too low in larger $n \geq 6$ clusters.

8. $n \geq 5$ clusters grow upon annealing and larger clusters grow more than smaller clusters.